



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,713	03/31/2006	Hideyuki Yasuno	18201-003US1 RCJA0213P-US	4470
26161	7590	11/01/2010	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (BO) P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			PANDE, SUCHIRAA	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1637		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		11/01/2010		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,713	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YASUNO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> SUCHIRA PANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1637

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** RCE filed(1) SUCHIRA PANDE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ryan McQuade.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2010**Time:** 10:55 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

*103 rejection of claims drawn only to SEQ ID NO 1 namely claims 1, 25, 28 and 29 currently rejected over art teaching region comprising SEQID NO 1 in view of Buck et al. will be maintained.*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claims 16, 17, 19, 24, 26, 27, 30-33 have allowable subject matter*

**Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Suchira Pande/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney will contact Applicant to see if claims 1, 25, 28 and 29 referring to SEQ ID No 1 and its modifications can be cancelled. Applicant may consider making the claim language uniform of all the allowable claims. Applicant has the option of cancelling all the withdrawn method claims or amend them and ask for rejoinder of product and method claims.